

## 2026 Inter-University Chemical Innovation Competition Self Evaluation Rubrics

Criteria	Excellent (9-10)	Good(7-8)	Fair(5-6)	Poor(0-4)
<b>Innovation &amp; Creativity</b>	<b>Highly original</b> Breakthrough idea with exceptional innovative approach	<b>Some novelty</b> Creative application with clear innovative elements	<b>Moderately innovative</b> Shows some innovation but lacks originality	<b>Little/no novelty</b> Lacks innovation or originality
<b>Chemical Relevance &amp; Scientific Rigor</b>	<b>Strong foundation</b> Excellent chemistry foundation with highly rigorous methodology	<b>Good application</b> Good use of chemistry principles, mostly accurate	<b>Basic chemistry</b> Basic chemistry applied with some gaps	<b>Weak/no relevance</b> Weak or no chemistry relevance
<b>Practicality &amp; Feasibility</b>	<b>Highly feasible</b> Technically feasible, safe, near-ready prototype demonstrated	<b>Feasible</b> Feasible with minor gaps that can be addressed	<b>Somewhat feasible</b> Somewhat feasible but has significant gaps	<b>Not practical</b> Not practical or unsafe
<b>Sustainability &amp; Societal Impact</b>	<b>Strong impact</b> Strong sustainability considerations with clear societal value	<b>Moderate impact</b> Moderate sustainability and positive societal impact	<b>Limited consideration</b> Limited consideration of sustainability aspects	<b>No impact</b> No sustainability or societal impact
<b>Commercial &amp; Entrepreneurial Potential</b>	<b>Strong potential</b> Strong market potential, highly scalable, IP-ready	<b>Moderate potential</b> Moderate market value with some scalability	<b>Low readiness</b> Low market readiness, limited scalability	<b>No viability</b> No commercial viability

<b>Interdisciplinary Integration</b>	<b>Effective integration</b> Effective, well-justified integration of disciplines (<30%)	<b>Reasonable integration</b> Reasonable integration with clear justification	<b>Minimal integration</b> Minimal interdisciplinary elements	<b>No integration</b> No meaningful integration
<b>Presentation &amp; Communication</b>	<b>Excellent delivery</b> Excellent clarity, highly engaging with strong visuals	<b>Clear delivery</b> Clear and logical presentation with adequate visuals	<b>Understandable</b> Understandable but weak delivery or visuals	<b>Unclear</b> Unclear or poorly presented

## Technology Readiness Levels (TRL 0–9) for Chemical Innovations

TRL	Stage	Description (Specific to Chemical / Process Innovations)	Typical Evidence or Output
TRL 0	<b>Idea / Concept Generation</b>	Early-stage idea or hypothesis for a new chemical product, reaction pathway, or process — no experimental proof yet.	Concept notes, theoretical models, literature review, or preliminary feasibility thoughts.
TRL 1	<b>Basic Principles Observed</b>	Fundamental research begins — underlying scientific principles and chemical reactions are identified.	Lab-scale literature validation, reaction feasibility studies, or thermodynamic modeling.
TRL 2	<b>Concept Formulated</b>	The concept is defined — potential raw materials, reaction mechanisms, or process flows proposed.	Reaction pathway proposal, preliminary reaction kinetics or equilibrium data.
TRL 3	<b>Experimental Proof of Concept</b>	Small-scale lab experiments confirm theoretical predictions. Reaction demonstrated under controlled conditions.	Bench-scale tests, lab validation data, proof of reaction viability.
TRL 4	<b>Technology Validated in Lab</b>	Process integrated at lab scale; key parameters (yield, selectivity, energy input) optimized.	Batch reactor trials, process simulation, material characterization, prototype formulation.

TRL	Stage	Description (Specific to Chemical / Process Innovations)	Typical Evidence or Output
TRL 5	<b>Technology Validated in Relevant Environment</b>	Process scaled up from lab to small pilot; tested with real feedstock under semi-continuous conditions.	Pilot reactor operation (1–10 kg/day), impurity management, reproducibility confirmed.
TRL 6	<b>Prototype Demonstrated in Relevant Environment</b>	Prototype or mini-plant operates under near-industrial conditions. Focus on performance, safety, and reliability.	100–1000 kg/day demo unit, process flow diagrams (PFD), process hazard analysis (PHA).
TRL 7	<b>System Prototype Demonstration in Operational Environment</b>	Full pilot-plant or semi-commercial scale system tested under realistic industrial conditions.	Long-term pilot data, energy and cost optimization, environmental impact assessment.
TRL 8	<b>System Complete and Qualified</b>	Technology proven and qualified for full-scale operation. Final process design and quality certification achieved.	Industrial trial results, SLS/ISO/ASTM certifications, SOP documentation.
TRL 9	<b>Full Commercial Implementation</b>	Technology deployed in full-scale commercial production. Continuous improvement and market feedback ongoing.	Commercial plant operation, profitability data, customer validation, product registration.

### Simplified Categorization

Stage	TRL Range	Phase Focus
<b>Research / Discovery</b>	0–3	Concept, basic science, and early lab validation
<b>Development / Pilot</b>	4–6	Process integration and prototype demonstration
<b>Deployment / Commercialization</b>	7–9	Full-scale validation and market introduction